November 14, 2024

James P. Sheesley
Assistant Executive Secretary
Attention: Comments
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 17th Street, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20429
(comments@fdic.gov)

Re: Unsafe and Unsound Banking Practices: Brokered Deposit Restrictions RIN 3064-AF99

Dear Mr. Sheesley,

I am CEO of Morgantown Bank & Trust Company. Established in 1880, we are a community bank focused on providing unapparelled personal service to everyone we support. We offer a wide variety of financial products, including personal and business banking, loans, mortgages, and wealth management services. Committed to supporting our local businesses and fostering economic development, we take pride in the lasting relationships we establish with our individual customers. By blending traditional values with modern banking technologies, we ensure that our financial solutions and financial services are accessible, convenient, and tailored to meet the specific needs of the individuals, families, and businesses we serve.

I share the following thoughts regarding the FDIC's proposed rulemaking on brokered deposits.

## **Key Concerns**

# 1. Expanded Deposit Broker Definition:

The proposal's new "compensation prong" and the merger of "placing" and "facilitating" definitions create an overly broad interpretation of deposit brokers. If read literally, the proposed definition could potentially capture <u>any</u> third party that receives <u>any</u> compensation, from <u>anyone</u>, for <u>any</u> service that assists <u>any</u> insured depository institution gather and/or retain <u>any</u> deposits.

The world has become a blend of physical and digital, and community banks won't be able to survive unless we can partner with third parties to attract and keep depositors. Not only do we need to offer competitive deposit products, but we also have to provide the technology and user experience that today's consumers expect.

Without the time, resources, and technical expertise of larger institutions, smaller banks must rely on third-party partnerships to help us build, deploy and maintain digital banking platforms that make it easy for customers to open accounts, manage daily banking tasks, and oversee their finances.

For community banks to remain competitive, we need these external partnerships, and third-party providers must be fairly compensated to be able to continue offering their valuable services.

### 2. Remove Digital Marketing Restrictions:

We believe the FDIC should fully recognize the digital realities of today's banking environment.

Consumers now have full-service banks at their fingertips with smartphones and tablets and frequently use comparison websites and mobile apps to assess financial service providers. The FDIC should lift its restrictions on "passive activities" and "limited compensation" for digital marketing platforms (such as listing services). These platforms offer valuable information that empower consumers to make informed choices about financial products providers.

They also allow institutions like ours to build direct relationships, that we own and control, with techsavvy individuals seeking community-based alternatives to national banks. Digital channels enable us to compete cost-effectively with larger institutions, and we should have the freedom to use these tools to promote our services and attract new customers and deposits.

Similarly, listing services and online comparison sites should not be regulated to providing only rate and participating institution information nor should their compensation to be restricted to flat fees and/or subscription services. These services are not dissimilar to any other marketing channel where such restrictions do not exist.

## **Recommended Changes**

- Exempt Specific Third-Party Services Providers: Exempt third parties who help banks establish and
  maintain direct depositor relationships provided the third party (i) does not control depositor
  accounts or funds; (ii) plays no role in establishing account terms; (iii) does not manage or propose
  deposit allocations among institutions; and (iv) does not serve as the system of record for any
  depositor transactions or funds.
- 2. Exclude Stable, Insured Deposits from the Brokered Deposit Definition: Exempt fully insured, reward-based, and transaction accounts provided these accounts are (i) fully insured, (ii) opened by and held in the name of an individual depositor; (iii) are used regularly by that same depositor for standard banking activities and (iv) only that same depositor is authorized by the insured depository institution to authorize withdrawals for to close the account. These deposits provide stable, core funding and increase my franchise value and advance the FDIC's safety and soundness agenda.
- 3. Define Middleware Provider Role: The FDIC could refine the "deposit broker" classification to target entities that directly market or distribute deposit services to end-users, specifically when these intermediaries—not the bank's primary processor—act as the system of record for depositor transactions and funds. This approach would direct regulatory focus toward higher-risk partnerships, while maintaining the essential role of traditional third-party service providers that do not have control over depositor funds.

#### **Legislative Alternative**

We also encourage the FDIC to collaborate with Congress to replace Section 29 of the FDI Act with an asset growth restriction, The Asset Growth Restriction Act previously introduced by Senator Jerry Moran, would accomplish the original restrictions Section 20 of the FDI Act was intended to address and it would create a regulatory framework that would be easier for the FDIC to administer.

#### **Final Thoughts**

In summary, we urge the FDIC to refine the proposed rule to enable community banks to use digital marketing channels and third-party service providers to attract new depositors, retain core deposits and support the communities we serve.

I appreciate the chance to provide my comments and recommendations. I hope the FDIC will consider the suggestions I have provided.

With gratitude,

Jason Jones Chief Executive Officer